## A dynamical proof of Pisot's theorem

Jaroslaw Kwapisz

Department of Mathematical Sciences Montana State University Bozeman MT 59717-2400 tel: (406) 994 5343 fax: (406) 994 1789 e-mail: jarek@math.montana.edu web page: http://www.math.montana.edu/~jarek/

March 9, 2005

## Abstract

We give a geometric proof of classical results that characterize Pisot numbers as algebraic  $\lambda > 1$  for which there is  $x \neq 0$  with  $\lambda^n x \to 0 \pmod{1}$  and identify such x as members of  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda^{-1}] \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^*$  where  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^*$  is the dual module of  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ .

A real number  $\lambda > 1$  is called *a Pisot number* iff it is an algebraic integer and all its Galois conjugates (other than  $\lambda$ ) are of modulus less that one — the golden mean  $(1+\sqrt{5})/2$  is an example. Pisot's 1938 thesis [4] and, independently, Vijayaraghavan's 1941 paper [7] contain the following beautiful characterization.

**Theorem 1 (Pisot,Vijayaraghavan)** Suppose that  $\lambda > 1$  is an algebraic number (over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). The following are equivalent

- (i)  $\lambda$  is a Pisot number;
- (ii) There exists non-zero real x such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda^n x = 0 \pmod{1}$  (i.e.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \min\{|\lambda^n x k| : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = 0$  where  $\mathbb{Z}$  are rational integers).

Moreover, any x satisfying (ii) belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ , the field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  by  $\lambda$ .

The property (ii) is responsible for Pisot numbers turning up in a variety of contexts seemingly unrelated to their definition. The reader may want to savor the ensuing connections by reading [5, 2]. Our interest in Pisot's theorem stems from its role in determination of spectrum for the translation flow on substitution tiling spaces, as exhibited by [6] and further exploited in [1]. We shall not discuss that connection here and turn instead to our goal of supplying a proof of the theorem that offers a direct geometrical insight — something that is missing from the considerations of the classical proofs (as found in [3] or [5]). We shall also derive the following characterization of the set

$$X_{\lambda} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda^n x = 0 \pmod{1} \}.$$
(1)

In [3], this result is also attributed to Pisot and Vijayaraghavan.

**Theorem 2 (Pisot,Vijayaraghavan)** Suppose  $\lambda > 1$  is Pisot. Let p' be the derivative of the monic irreducible polynomial of  $\lambda$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^* := \frac{1}{p'(\lambda)}\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ . Then  $x \in X_{\lambda}$  iff  $\lambda^n x \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^*$  for some  $n \geq 0$ ; i.e.,

$$X_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \lambda^{-n} \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^* = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda^{-1}] \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^*.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

We note that  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^*$  is just an explicit form (as given by Euler) of the dual of the module  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$  typically defined as  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^* := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) : \operatorname{trace}(xy) \in \mathbb{Z} \; \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]\}$  and that  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^*$  is non-zero only if  $\lambda$  is an algebraic integer (see Prop. 3-7-12 in [8]). That  $x \in X_{\lambda}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^*$  is clear by the following standard argument (emulating Theorem 1 in [5]). Let  $\lambda = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d$  be all the roots of p (the Galois conjugates of  $\lambda$ ) and  $x = x_1, \ldots, x_d$  be the images of x under the natural isomorphisms  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \to \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i)$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni T_n := \operatorname{trace}(\lambda^n x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i^n x_i = \lambda^n x + \sum_{i=2}^d \lambda_i^n x_i, \qquad (3)$$

and so  $|\lambda^n x - T_n| \to 0$  due to the Pisot hypothesis:  $|\lambda_i| < 1$  for i = 2, ..., d.

From now on, consider a fixed algebraic number  $\lambda > 1$ . Denote by p its monic minimal polynomial, which is obviously irreducible. Let  $d := \deg(p)$ , and fix a  $d \times d$ matrix A over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . The companion matrix of p is one such A, and any other is similar to it over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\lambda$  is an algebraic integer then A can be taken over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Conversely, if A preserves some lattice in  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $AL \subset L$ , then  $\lambda$  is an algebraic integer. Here by *a lattice* we understand a discrete rank d subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ — $\mathbb{Z}^d$  being the simplest example.

We shall frequently use the fact that A is *irreducible over*  $\mathbb{Q}$ : if W is a non-zero subspace of  $\mathbb{Q}^d$  and  $A(W) \subset W$ , then  $W = \mathbb{Q}^d$  (as otherwise the characteristic polynomial of  $A|_W$  would divide p). Also, by irreducibility of p, A has simple eigenvalues and is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$  so that we have a splitting

$$\mathbb{R}^d = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$$

where  $E^s$ ,  $E^c$ ,  $E^u$  are the linear spans of the real eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues of modulus less, equal, and greater than 1, respectively. We shall see that, for  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $A^n v \to 0$  iff  $v \in E^s$  lies at the very heart of Pisot's theorem. Below,  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  is the standard scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Lemma 1** If  $\langle A^n v_0 | k_0 \rangle \to 0 \pmod{1}$  for some  $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus E^s$  and  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , then A leaves invariant some lattice in  $\mathbb{Q}^d$ ; i.e.,  $\lambda$  is an algebraic integer.

**Lemma 2** Suppose that A has entries in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $\langle A^n v_0 | k_0 \rangle \rightarrow 0 \pmod{1}$  for  $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $v_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^d + E^s$ .

Proof of Theorem 1: Taking x = 1 in (3) shows that (i) implies (ii), so it is left to show (i) from (ii). Pick  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to be an eigenvector of A corresponding to  $\lambda$ ,  $A\omega = \lambda\omega$ . Fix  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . Observe that  $\langle k_0 | \omega \rangle \neq 0$  by irreducibility of the transpose  $A^T$  of A(since  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q}^d : \langle q | \omega \rangle = 0\}$  is  $A^T$  invariant). Thus, in the linear span  $\lim_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega)$  of  $\omega$ over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can find  $v_0$  so that  $x = \langle v_0 | k_0 \rangle$ . In this way,

$$\lambda^n x = \lambda^n \langle v_0 | k_0 \rangle = \langle A^n v_0 | k_0 \rangle, \quad v_0 \in \lim_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega).$$
(4)

From  $x \neq 0$ ,  $v_0 \notin E^s$  and so  $\lambda$  must be an algebraic integer by Lemma 1. By Lemma 2,  $v_0 = q_0 + z$  for some  $z \in E^s$  and  $q_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ ; and  $q_0 \neq 0$  from  $v_0 \notin E^s$ . Consider,  $W := \mathbb{Q}^d \cap (E^s \oplus \lim_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega))$ . Irreducibility of  $A, AW \subset W$  and  $q_0 \in W$  force  $W = \mathbb{Q}^d$ . Thus  $E^s \oplus \lim_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega) = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\lambda$  is Pisot.  $\Box$ 

We turn our attention to proving the lemmas now. The two proofs will partially overlap and could be combined into a single more compact argument, but we shall keep them separate because (in applications)  $\lambda$  is often a priori known to be an algebraic integer. In that case, Pisot's theorem can be viewed as a feature of the dynamics of the endomorphism  $f : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d$ ,  $x \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^d} \mapsto Ax \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ , induced by A on the d-dimensional torus,  $\mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Beside the toral endomorphism f, our main tool will be the concept of duality of lattices. Recall that the dual of a lattice L is defined as  $L^* := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle v | l \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall l \in L\}$ . One easily checks that  $(\mathbb{Z}^d)^* = \mathbb{Z}^d$ . For any lattice L, after expressing it as  $L = B\mathbb{Z}^d$  for some nonsingular matrix B, we have  $L^* = (B\mathbb{Z}^d)^* = (B^T)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$  where  $B^T$  is the transpose of B. In particular,  $L^*$  is also a lattice.

Proof of Lemma 1: Let  $V := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle A^n v | k_0 \rangle \to 0 \pmod{1}\}$  and  $K := \{k \in \mathbb{Q}^d : \langle A^n v | k \rangle \to 0 \pmod{1}\}$  or  $V \in V\}$ . These are subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , A(V) = V,  $A^T(K) = K$ , and  $v_0 \in V$ ,  $k_0 \in K$ . Irreducibility of  $A^T$  forces  $\lim_{\mathbb{Q}} (K) = \mathbb{Q}^d$  so that we can find linearly independent  $k_1, \ldots, k_d \in K$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the lattice generated by  $k_j$ 's,  $\Gamma^*$  be its dual, and  $\chi_j : \mathbb{R}^d / \Gamma^* \to \mathbb{C}$  be the associated basis characters on the torus  $\mathbb{R}^d / \Gamma^*$ ; namely,  $\chi_j(x \pmod{\Gamma^*}) := \exp(2\pi i \langle k_j | x \rangle), x \in \mathbb{R}^d, j = 1, \ldots, d$ .

The convergence  $\langle A^n v_0 | k_j \rangle \to 0 \pmod{1}$  translates to  $\chi_j(A^n v_0 \pmod{\Gamma^*}) \to 1$ , which (by continuity of  $\chi_j$  and compactness of  $\mathbb{R}^d/\Gamma^*$ ) is equivalent to  $\operatorname{dist}(A^n v_0 \pmod{\Gamma^*}), \chi_j^{-1}(1) \to 0$ . Therefore,  $\operatorname{dist}(A^n v_0 \pmod{\Gamma^*}), G) \to 0$  where  $G := \bigcap_{i=1}^d \chi_j^{-1}(1) = \{0 \pmod{\Gamma^*}\}$ , which is to say that

$$\operatorname{dist}(A^n v_0, \Gamma^*) \to 0. \tag{5}$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  so that, for  $x, y \in A\Gamma^* \cup \Gamma^*$ ,  $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) < \epsilon$  forces x = y. (This is possible because  $A\Gamma^*/\Gamma^*$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{R}^d/\Gamma^*$ , as can be seen by picking  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  so that aA has all integer entries and observing that  $A\Gamma^* \subset a^{-1}\Gamma^*$ , which yields  $A\Gamma^*/\Gamma^* \subset (a^{-1}\Gamma^*)/\Gamma^*$ .) From (5), there are  $u_n \in \Gamma^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\operatorname{dist}(A^n v_0, u_n) \to 0$ . Since,  $\operatorname{dist}(u_{n+1}, Au_n) \leq \operatorname{dist}(u_{n+1}, A^{n+1}v_0) + \operatorname{dist}(AA^n v_0, Au_n)$ , we have  $\operatorname{dist}(u_{n+1}, Au_n) \to 0$ and so, as soon as  $\operatorname{dist}(u_{n+1}, Au_n) < \epsilon$ , it must be that  $u_{n+1} = Au_n$ . Therefore, for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $l \geq 0$ , we have  $A^l u_{n_0} = u_{n_0+l} \in \Gamma^*$ . Now, from  $v_0 \notin E^s$ ,  $A^n v_0 \neq 0$ so that  $u_{n_0} \neq 0$ . But  $u_{n_0} \in M := \{v \in \Gamma^* : A^l v \in \Gamma^* \forall l \geq 0\}$ , which makes M a nonzero subgroup of  $\Gamma^*$ . Clearly  $AM \subset M$ . By irreducibility of A,  $\operatorname{lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M) = \mathbb{Q}^d$  so that M is a lattice.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Lemma 2: Let  $f : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{T}^d$  be the toral endomorphism associated to  $A, \chi : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{C}$  be the character associated to  $k_0, \chi(x \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^d}) := \exp(2\pi i \langle x | k_0 \rangle)$ , and set  $p := v_0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ . The hypothesis  $\langle A^n v_0 | k_0 \rangle \to 0 \pmod{1}$  translates to  $\chi(f^n(p)) \to 1$ , which is equivalent to  $\operatorname{dist}(f^n(p), G) \to 0$  where  $G := \chi^{-1}(1)$ . We claim that, in fact,

$$\operatorname{dist}(f^n(p), G_{\infty}) \to 0, \quad G_{\infty} := \bigcap_{n \ge 0} f^{-n}(G).$$
(6)

Indeed, otherwise  $f^{n_k}(p) \to w \notin f^{-l}(G)$  for some  $w, l \ge 0$ , and  $n_k \to \infty$ ; and so  $f^{n_k+l}(p) \to f^l(w) \notin G$  contradicting dist $(f^n(p), G) \to 0$ .

To identify  $G_{\infty}$  as a finite subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , consider its lift to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\Gamma := G_{\infty} + \mathbb{Z}^d := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^d} \in G_{\infty} \}.$$

Denote by  $L_{k_0}$  the smallest sublattice of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing  $(A^T)^n k_0$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Its dual,  $L_{k_0}^*$ , is a lattice in  $\mathbb{Q}^d$ . For  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have  $v \in \Gamma$  iff  $\langle A^n v | k_0 \rangle = \langle v | (A^T)^n k_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $n \ge 0$  iff  $v \in L_{k_0}^*$ . Thus  $G_{\infty} = \Gamma/\mathbb{Z}^d$  where

$$\Gamma = L_{k_0}^* \subset \mathbb{Q}^d. \tag{7}$$

Let  $q_n \in G_{\infty}$  realize the distance in (6) so that  $\operatorname{dist}(f^n(p), q_n) \to 0$  and thus also  $\operatorname{dist}(f(q_n), q_{n+1}) \to 0$ . Since  $G_{\infty}$  is discrete, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$q_{n+1} = f(q_n), \quad n \ge n_0. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, if we pick  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough and  $n_1 > n_0$  large enough, then for every  $n \ge n_1$  we can write  $f^n(p) = q_n + x_n + y_n + z_n$  for some unique  $x_n \in E^s$ ,  $y_n \in E^c$ ,  $z_n \in E^u$ , each of norm less than  $\epsilon$ . From (8), we have  $x_{n+1} = Ax_n$ ,  $y_{n+1} = Ay_n$ ,  $z_{n+1} = Ax_n$  for  $n \ge n_1$ . What is more, dist $(f^n(p), q_n) \to 0$  forces  $y_n \to 0$  and  $z_n \to 0$ , which is only possible if  $y_{n_1} = 0$  and  $z_{n_1} = 0$ . Thus  $f^{n_1}(p) = q_{n_1} + x_{n_1}$ ; i.e.,  $A^{n_1}v_0 = w + x_{n_1}$  for some  $w \in \Gamma$  (with  $q_{n_1} = w \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ ). To summarize,  $v_0 \in A^{-n_1}\Gamma + E^s = A^{-n_1}L_{k_0}^* + E^s \subset \mathbb{Q}^d + E^s$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 1 (addendum to Lemma 2)** Under the hypotheses of Lemma 2,

$$\{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle A^n v | k_0 \rangle \to 0 \pmod{1}\} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} A^{-n} L_{k_0}^* + E^s \tag{9}$$

where  $L_{k_0}$  is the smallest lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  containing  $(A^T)^n k_0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Proof of Remark 1: The " $\subset$ " inclusion is demonstrated in the proof of Lemma 2. To see " $\supset$ ", it suffices to note that, if  $v \in L_{k_0}^* + E^s$ , then v = w + x where  $w \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^d} \in G_{\infty}$ and  $x \in E^s$ . Thus  $\langle A^n v | k_0 \rangle$  becomes exponentially close to  $\langle A^n w | k_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  as  $n \to \infty$ .  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 2: The plan is to explicitly compute the objects invloved in the preceding arguments for A that is the companion matrix of the polynomial p of  $\lambda$ ,

$$p(x) = x^d + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + a_0, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The eigenvectors  $\omega$  and  $\omega^*$  with  $A\omega = \lambda \omega$ ,  $A^T \omega^* = \lambda \omega^*$  can be found as

$$\omega^* := \frac{1}{p'(\lambda)} \cdot (a_1 + a_2\lambda + \dots + \lambda^{d-1}, \dots, a_{d-1} + \lambda, 1)$$
$$\omega := (1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{d-1}).$$

These are normalized so that  $\langle \omega | \omega^* \rangle = 1$ , which ensures that the projection onto  $\lim_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega)$ along  $E^s = (\omega^*)^{\perp}$  is given by  $\operatorname{pr}^u(y) = \langle y | \omega^* \rangle \omega$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Note that the components of  $\omega^*$  generate  $\frac{1}{p'(\lambda)}\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ ,  $\{\langle u | \omega^* \rangle | u \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} = \frac{1}{p'(\lambda)}\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ .

Denote by  $e_1, \ldots, e_d$  the standard basis in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and set  $k_0 := e_1$ . Since  $e_i = (A^T)^{i-1}(e_1)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ , we have  $L_{k_0} = \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Hence,  $L_{k_0}^* = \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

If we write  $x = \langle v_0 | k_0 \rangle$  for  $v_0 \in \lim_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega)$  — as in (4) in the proof of Theorem 1 then  $\lambda^n x \to 0 \pmod{1}$  iff  $\langle A^n v_0 | k_0 \rangle \to 0 \pmod{1}$  iff  $A^{n_1} v_0 \in L_{k_0}^* + E^s = \mathbb{Z}^d + E^s$  for some  $n_1 \ge 0$ , where the last equivalence hinges on Remark 1. Thus  $x \in X_\lambda$  are of the form

$$x = \lambda^{-n_1} \langle A^{n_1} v_0 | k_0 \rangle = \lambda^{-n_1} \langle \operatorname{pr}^u(u) | k_0 \rangle = \lambda^{-n_1} \langle u | \omega^* \rangle \langle \omega | k_0 \rangle = \lambda^{-n_1} \langle u | \omega^* \rangle \cdot 1$$
(10)

where  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $n_1 \geq 0$ . That is  $X_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{n_1 \geq 0} \lambda^{-n_1} \frac{1}{p'(\lambda)} \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ , as desired.  $\Box$ 

The readers accustomed to a more traditional framework will no doubt notice that, in our setting, the scalar product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  serves as the completion of *the trace form* on  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) \times \mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ , the two being related by  $\langle x | y \rangle = \operatorname{trace}(\langle x | \omega^* \rangle \cdot \langle \omega | y \rangle)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ . This explains our remark about the nature of  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^*$  from the beginning of this note.

## References

- [1] M. Barge and J. Kwapisz. Geometric theory of Pisot substitutions. in preparation, 2003.
- [2] M.-J. Bertin, A. Decomps-Guilloux, M. Grandet-Hugot, M. Pathiaux-Delefosse, and J.-P. Schreiber. *Pisot and Salem Numbers*. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1992. (With a preface by David W. Boyd).
- [3] J. W. S. Cassels. An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation, volume 45 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1957.

- [4] C. Pisot. La répartition modulo 1 et les nombres algébriques. Ann. Scu. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 27:205–248, 1938.
- [5] R. Salem. Algebraic numbers and Fourier analysis. D. C. Heath and Co., Boston, MA, 1963.
- [6] B. Solomyak. Dynamics of self-similar tilings. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 17 no. 3:695-738, 1997.
- [7] T. Vijayaraghavan. On the fractional parts of the powers of a number. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 37:349–357, 1941.
- [8] E. Weiss. Algebraic Number Theory. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, NY, 1976.